

*The promise of Federal Cloud Efforts cannot be fully met without IPv6.*



## **ACQUISITION CONSIDERATIONS FOR IPV6**

# Caveat

---



- Acquisitions specifications can vary wildly from group to group, and sometimes even RFI/RFP to RFI/RFP
  - With that in mind, there are varying “levels” of response ...
    - A brief, non-technical / high-level set of requirements
    - Moderate-length, with foundational technical requirements
    - A detailed set of technical requirements with specific functional and performance requirements

# Importance of Addressing IPv6 in Cloud RFP/RFI

1. FAR Requirements; FAR Case 2005-041: *(excerpt)*  
... **to require Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) compliant products be included in all new information technology (IT) acquisitions using Internet Protocol (IP).** IP is one of the primary mechanisms that define how and where information moves across networks. The widely-used IP industry standard is IP Version 4 (IPv4). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-05-22, dated August 2, 2005, requires all new IT procurements, to the maximum extent practicable, to include IPv6 capable products and standards. DATES: Effective Date: December 10, 2009.

## 2. EXAMPLE:

Specific VA Needs - three milestones in the *near* future:

- ... 30 Sept 2012 - OMB Mandate = IPv6 enabled customer-facing services
- 30 Sept 2014 - OMB Mandate = IPv6 enabled internal services
- 30 Sept 2015 - VA Deputy Assistant Secretary Directive = Deactivate IPv4  
*(Waivers for non-conforming edge cases)*



# Sidenote on “The Cloud”



- “Cloud First” (FCCS) & “FedRAMP” are important & relevant
- **And while these do not explicitly require IPv6, neither do they supersede OMB/FAR**

# The Minimalist Approach

---



- RFI/RFPs go out simply stating requirements for “IPv6 compliance” or “IPv6 capability”
  - **Generally speaking, this is inadequate as it provides no prompts for vendor action.**
- The phrasing *must*, at the very least, include functional and performance requirements.
- Another improvement would be to include language pointing to NIST’s USGv6 profile process.
  - Every agency would have specific USGv6 compliant device profiles defined.
  - Do note however, that USGv6 doesn’t cover everything. As a relevant example, there are no references to Cloud Service Providers ...

## The Short Version

---



- A basic acquisitions language framework, readily usable by Program Managers or in RFI/RFPs:  
*(or included in some contexts to start the appropriate conversations)*
  - All Products and Services that are to be network connected or attached are expected to support both IPv4 and IPv6. This *must* include the ability to operate in all of the following deployments without loss of (or impact to) functionality: IPv4-only, IPv6-only and Dual-Stack. To the extent the following are relevant to the deployment, this *must* include full feature parity and *should* include full performance parity across all management, reporting, maintenance, provisioning, connectivity and service-supporting functions.

# The Moderate Version

---



- Be more specific. Develop an understanding of which products or services are being sought / acquired, and which IPv6 touch-points are relevant.
  - For example, a Cloud Service Provider offering an IaaS offering could be asked to ensure that all of the following areas are IPv6-capable:
    - Network Connectivity and Manageability for/between Hypervisor instances
    - Network Connectivity for/between the Host instances, including to external sources
    - Cloud Service Orchestration / API integration
    - SLA conformance and Monitoring must verify both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses, interfaces and inter-connectivity is properly functioning
    - Support for feature-parity security policy implementation – same level and scope of filtering, Deep packet Inspection, etc.

# The Full Version



- This would be heavily augmented by an agency defining their USGv6-compliant Product Profiles ...

	SaaS	PaaS	IaaS	ISP	RFC Desc:
2451					ESP CBC mode Algs
2451#section-2.6					ESP CBC mode Algs:3DES-CBC
2460	M	M	M	M	IPv6
2460#section-2	M	M	M	M	IPv6 Packets: send, receive
2460#section-2		M	M	M	IPv6 packet forwarding
2460#section#section-4	M	M	M	M	IPv6:Ext Hdr
2460#section-4.3	M	M	M	M	IPv6:Ext Hdr:HbH, Unrecognized
2460#section-4.3	M	M	M	M	IPv6:Ext Hdr:FragHdr
2460#section-4.3	M	M	M	M	IPv6:Ext Hdr:DestOpt
2464			M	M	IPv6 over Foo:Ethernet
2467			O	O	IPv6 over Foo:FDDI
2473			O	O	Generic Packet Tunneling
2474			M	M	DiffServ
2475			M	M	DiffServ Arch
2491			O	O	IPv6 over Foo:NBMA
2492			O	O	IPv6 over Foo:ATM
2497			O	O	IPv6 over Foo:ARCnet
2507			O	O	IP Hdr Comp

- Lacking an agency-wide, standardized effort today (*or complimenting that once available*) any agency/component could (*and SHOULD*) identify their IPv6 requirements in this format and place those requirements in all RFI/RFPs. By doing so, each agency would be clearly stating which RFCs and/or functionalities are required.

*The promise of the Federal Cloud Efforts cannot be fully met without IPv6.*



Please let us know if there are any questions, comments, etc.!

[cloud@nephos6.com](mailto:cloud@nephos6.com)